



## NTU-H3 Science Research Evaluation Rubric Assessment Form for Research Process

FΩ	R	м	Α

Name of Student	:	
Project Code & Title	:	
Name of Assessor	: .	Signature & Date :

Assessment is based on observations of the student at work.

Components	Outstanding 4	Good 3	Average 2	Below Average 1	Score* (1-4)
(A) Initiative	<ul> <li>Very self-directed</li> <li>Contributed significantly to project planning</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Needs mentor's leadership</li> <li>Mentor can count on student to follow through</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Rarely focuses on the task and on what needs to be done</li> </ul>	
(B) Keeping to Schedule	<ul> <li>All deadlines are met</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Most deadlines are met</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Deadlines are met with a little prompting</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Work is generally late or missing even with prompting</li> </ul>	
(C) Interpersonal Effectiveness	Works well with mentor and others	Able to work with mentor and others	<ul> <li>Able to work with mentor and others with occasional difficulties</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Able to work with mentor and others but with great difficulties</li> </ul>	
(D) Attitude	Shows great interest and commitment all the time	Shows interest and commitment most of the time	<ul> <li>Inconsistent interest and commitment</li> </ul>	Not interested and not committed	
(E) Inquisitiveness	<ul> <li>Asks challenging and relevant questions all the time</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Asks relevant questions most of the time</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Asks questions occasionally</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Hardly asks questions</li> </ul>	
(F) Problem- Solving Skills	Provides effective solutions to problems most of the time	<ul> <li>Provides effective solutions to problems at times</li> </ul>	Seldom provides effective solutions	<ul> <li>Never provides effective solutions</li> </ul>	
(G) Keeping Records	<ul> <li>Well-documented and organised</li> <li>Essential information is kept in a well-organised written format</li> <li>Strong evidence of individual insights and reflection</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Fairly well-documented and organised</li> <li>Essential information is kept in a fairly easy to follow format</li> <li>Some evidence of individual insights and reflection</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Only some portions are well- documented and organised</li> <li>Little evidence of individual insights and reflection</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Incomplete documentation</li> <li>No evidence of individual insights and reflection</li> </ul>	

<sup>\*</sup> Please give only integer scores from 1 to 4.

TOTAL







## NTU-H3 Science Research Evaluation Rubric Assessment Form for Research Paper

FORM B

Name of Student	:	
Project Code & Title	:	
Name of Assessor	:	Signature & Date :

Assessment is based on the Research Paper submitted by the student.

	Components	Outstanding 4	Good 3	Average 2	Below Average 1	Score* (1-4)
(A)	Background Information and Clarity in Objectives Set  Experimental Design	<ul> <li>Uses authoritative resources</li> <li>Makes critical assessment</li> <li>All objectives are clearly defined</li> <li>Innovative and valid experiments</li> <li>All sources of errors considered</li> <li>All important variables controlled</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Uses mainly authoritative resources</li> <li>Makes some critical assessment</li> <li>Some objectives are clearly defined</li> <li>Valid experiments</li> <li>Most sources of</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Uses some         authoritative         resources</li> <li>Makes occasional         critical assessment</li> <li>Some objectives are         clearly defined</li> <li>Average         experimental design</li> <li>Some sources of         errors considered</li> <li>Few variables</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>No background research</li> <li>Lacks critical assessment</li> <li>Objectives are poorly defined</li> <li>Poor experimental</li> </ul>	(1-4)
(C)	Data Collection and Processing	<ul> <li>Excellent sample set</li> <li>High level of accuracy and precision</li> <li>Excellent scientific data processing</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Good sample set</li> <li>Some concern for accuracy and precision</li> <li>Good scientific data processing</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>controlled</li> <li>Average sample set</li> <li>Little concern for accuracy and precision</li> <li>Some evidence of scientific data processing</li> </ul>		
(D)	Discussion and Conclusion	<ul> <li>Coherent, logical and organised discussion of the results</li> <li>Appropriate conclusions</li> <li>Able to recommend future research / studies with thought given to possible further refinement</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Logical and organised discussion of the results</li> <li>Appropriate conclusions</li> <li>Able to recommend possible future research / studies</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Somewhat logical and organised discussion of the results</li> <li>Some appropriate conclusions</li> <li>Some suggestions of possible future research / studies but they may not be relevant</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Flaws and discontinuity in discussions of the results</li> <li>Inappropriate conclusions</li> <li>No suggestions of future research / studies</li> </ul>	
(E)	Paper Writing	<ul> <li>Paper is written         with a high degree         of clarity and         precision</li> <li>Effective use of         scientific notations,         references, figures,         figure captions etc.</li> <li>All sources are         properly cited</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Paper is written         with a considerable         degree of clarity         and precision</li> <li>Appropriate use of         scientific notations,         references, figures,         figure captions etc.</li> <li>Most sources are         properly cited</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Paper is written with some degree of clarity and precision</li> <li>Inconsistent use of scientific notations, references, figures, figure captions etc.</li> <li>Some sources are properly cited</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Paper shows only some evidence of clarity and precision</li> <li>Hardly any use of scientific notations, references, figures, figure captions etc.</li> <li>Most sources are not properly cited</li> </ul>	
(F)	Originality and Creativity	<ul> <li>Highly original, novel and resourceful</li> </ul>	Original with some novel ideas	<ul> <li>Approach is above average</li> </ul>	Routine approach	

<sup>\*</sup> Please give only integer scores from 1 to 4.

TOTAL





Skills

(C) Content

(D) Response to

Questions

(E) Demonstration

Acquired

of Knowledge



## NTU-H3 Science Research Evaluation Rubric **Assessment Form for Oral Presentation**

FΩ	RM	C

Name of Student	:								
Project Code & Title	e :								
Name of Assessor	:					Signature 8	k D	ate :	
Assessment is based	ssessment is based on the oral presentation and oral defence by the student.								
Components		Outstanding 4		Good 3		Average 2		Below Average 1	Score* (1-4)
(A) Effectiveness of Presentation materials	pr • Ve	npressive resentation flow ery effective use of / demonstrations graphic tools	•	Good presentation flow Effective use of IT / demonstrations / graphic tools	•	Average presentation flow Some use of IT / demonstrations / graphic tools	•	Poor presentation flow No use of IT / demonstrations / graphic tools	
(B) Communication	• V6	ery systematic and	•	Systematic and	•	Systematic	•	Not systematic	

Lacks clarity and

Slightly over or

comprehensiveness

under run the time

Some background

theoretical design

information

experimental/

More results

Able to answer

some questions

Shows average

Demonstrates

average ability to

or hypothesised

situation

apply the acquired

knowledge to a real

confidence level

Average

required

Lacks clarity and

Poor time

management

information

Little background

Poor experimental

/theoretical design

Insufficient results

Not able to answer

most questions

Demonstrates no

ability to apply the

acquired knowledge

Shows poor

confidence

to a real or

hypothesised

Unsubstantiated

conclusions

comprehensiveness

coherent

Clear and

Sufficient

Rational

background

information

experimental/

theoretical design

Sufficient results

Able to answer

logic

Logical conclusions

most questions with

Shows confidence

Demonstrates good

acquired knowledge

ability to apply the

to a real or

good reasoning and •

comprehensive

Keeps to the time

situation situation		* Please give only		
	situation situation			

coherent

timing

Very clear and

comprehensive

Keeps very good

Good background

information

Very rational

Solid results

Logical and consistent

conclusions

Shows great

Demonstrates

excellent ability to

apply the acquired

knowledge to a real

confidence

experimental/

theoretical design

Able to answer all

questions with good

reasoning and logic

situation	
TOTAL	

